UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,752	12/16/2003	Henri Gilbert	5284-28	5724
	7590 06/20/200 ΓΑΝΙ, LIEBERMAN &	EXAMINER		
Suite 1210 551 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10176			POLTORAK, PIOTR	
			ART UNIT ·	PAPER NUMBER
	-			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/20/2007	PAPER '

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	·				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/736,752	GILBERT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Peter Poltorak	2134			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was railure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timusely unit apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Ap	oril 2004.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.  7) ☒ Claim(s) <u>7 and 8</u> is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)  Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/736,752

Art Unit: 2134

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-8 have been examined.

# **Priority**

2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in France on December 17, 2002.

# Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the expression: A<sup>m</sup>□B in line
 is not understood. (It appears that applicant intended to write "4<sup>m</sup>xB" expression).
 Correction/clarification is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. Claims 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reeds (EPNO 0759669).

Reeds discloses initially placing the N symbols (SI,S2,...,SN) constituting the information to be enciphered in the N positions of a shift register, and then performing a succession of X turns of the shift register implementing a succession of X permutations on the sequences {S1,S2,...,SN} such that where {SI,S2,...,SN} is the sequence prior to the j<sup>th</sup> permutation, the sequence after the j<sup>th</sup> permutation is {\$2,S3 ....,SN,Zj}, where Zj is equal to M(Si1,...,Sim,Kj), the enciphered information being constituted by the sequence {S'1,S'2,...,S'N} contained in the shift register at

Art Unit: 2134

the end of the X<sup>th</sup> permutation resulting from the X<sup>th</sup> turn of the shift register (see Fig. 2- 5, and associated text).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action: •

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reeds (EPNO 0759669).
  - As per claim 2, Reeds does not place any limitation on relation of function M(Xi1, ... Xim,Y) and (Xi1). Thus, a particular case, wherein the function M(Xi1,...,Xim,Y) being objective relative to the first variable (Xi1), if not inherent, would have been at least be an obvious variation of Reeds' disclosed method.
- 6. As per claims 3-6, any initial values must be selected, and selecting a particular value of m (equal to N, less than N, greater than several times the length N of the sequences (SI,S2,...,SN)), would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art given that it is well known and barring any unexpected results.

#### Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/736,752

Art Unit: 2134

Claims 7-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Poltorak whose telephone number is (571) 272-3840. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. and alternate Fridays from 9:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz Zand can be reached on (571) 272-3811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). 6/14/07 Fen

Page 4